Preview Form

Review form

1. Relevance.*

- Very high
- High
- Average
- Rather low
- Low

2. Research quality (design, data analysis, interpretation).*

- Very high
- High
- Average
- Rather low
- Low

3. Quality of conclusions and consistency with data.*

- Very high
- High
- Average
- Rather low
- Low

4. Text quality (organisation, clarity, style, format).*

- Very high

High

Average

Rather low

Low

5. Quality of abstract and keywords.*

Very high

High

Average

Rather low

Low

In the reviewer's opinion, the work should be:

(Please, we need you to indicate this recommendation again in step 5 of the review process, after filling in this web form):

*

Accepted without changes.

Accepted on a provisional basis. The minor changes proposed by the reviewer must be introduced by the authors.

Reviewed again. The major changes proposed by the reviewer must be introduced by the authors. Afterwards, the manuscript must be reviewed again.

Rejected for the reasons given in this document.

According to your recommendation, please indicate the minor or major changes to be introduced or the reasons why the manuscript should be rejected.

This information is for editors only. It will not be available to the authors.

CLOSE

* Denotes required field

ISSN: 2341-2526 | DOI: 10.14198/DCN